

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated March 7, 2005.

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure has been amended to avoid this objection.

Further, the Examiner has rejected claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The specification has been amended in order to overcome this rejection.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the claim clearly and distinctly patentably defines over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

July 7, 2005